

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/712,491	Applicant(s) BRIGMON ET AL.	
	Examiner Deborah K. Ware	Art Unit 1651	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Non-Final

 (1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

 (2) J. Bennett Mullinax or Other Representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2008
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Not discussed per

Claims discussed:

claims of record

Prior art documents discussed:

Claims free of art
Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Phoned Applicants' Representative and informed of needed changes to the claims to put them into condition for allowance pending the outcome of a patentability conference. Applicants indicated that they will need to consider changes and to mail out another office action.